IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 317 OF 2005

Sakaharam Mahadeorao Sorte
v.
Jt. Charity Commr. & ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders

Mr.A.C. Dharkadhikari Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Parhiar, A.G.P. for Respondent no.1.
Mr.Gosavi Advocate for Respondents 2 & 3.
Mr.Paliwal Advocate for Respondent No. 4.

....

CORAM: A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.
DATED : 10th AUGUST, 2007.

Heard Mr.Dharmadhikari, learned counsel for the petitioner who vehemently opposes the submissions made by Mr.Paliwal, learned counsel for respondent no.2, that the present petition is rendered infructuous. Mr.Gosavi, learned counsel for respondents 2 & 3 and Mr.Paliwal, learned counsel for respondent no.4, submitted that this Court by the order dated 19.6.2006 had directed the

Joint Charity Commissioner to decide the main proceedings and the same has been decided on 12.9.2006 and appeal against that decision has already been preferred before the appellate authority. It is then stated at Bar that the ad hoc trustees- respondents 2 to 4 who were appointed on the basis of the order impugned in this petition, shall not claim any right based on that order in any proceedings. On this statement being made, Mr.Dharmadhikari also agrees that the present petition has become infructuous. Petition is therefore dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

/TA/